Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/046,651 V	SMITH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Patrick J. Nolan	1644
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Rejected</u>		
(1) <u>Patrick J. Nolan</u> .	(3)	
(2) Astrid Spain.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>1 April 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: The 35 USC 112 2 rd paragraph over claim 23 was discuss	sed	
Claims discussed: 20 and 23		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.	•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Constitution Sheet	SENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	4S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa directly resulted in the allowance of the applicatio of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur 	on. The examiner will provide a writer a writer a writer arate record of the substance of t	tten summary of the substance interview, since the interview
		•
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	olicant/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed that claims 1-19 were cancelled in a preliminary filed 10-19-01, and so they are not pending. Applicant also agreed to an Examiner's amendment removing urine from claim 23 since antibodies are to large to be found in urine and to amend claim 23 by the insertion of and after acites to recite a proper Markush Group. Applicant also agreed to amend claim 20, line 8 by the insertion of comparing the patient IL-16 or RANTES levels to normal controls..